ly charged air. Several clouds growing over the negative charge source developed extensive regions containing positive charge in their lower portions. An example is shown in Fig. 2. The measurements reported for 1985 were made with ground-based equipment that the instrumented airplane and balloon systems used in 1984 were not available.

It is conceivable that the abnormal polarity of electrification exhibited by these storms may have been an unusual natural occurrence that was not related to the artificial release of negative space charge during the growing period of the thundercloud. This is unlikely, however. Observations in this region of New Mexico over the past 20 years show that this polarity of electrification very rarely, if ever, occurs naturally in isolated clouds. Of the approximately 1000 thunderstorms whose electric fields have been observed, no isolated cloud above us has exhibited abnormal polarity. That three abnormal storms would have occurred by chance during a 2-week interval seems highly improbable.

The idea that the electrified wire powered by less than 100 W could influence the development of a thundercloud that produces 100 MW may at first seem credulous. However, if the electrification of a thundercloud is brought about by a feedback process similar to that acting in a laboratory influence machine, this growth of charge in the thundercloud can reasonably be expected. In describing his laboratory experiments, Kelvín (11, p. 69) wrote, "It is curious, after commencing with no electricity except a feeble charge in one of the jars, only discoverable by a delicate electrometer, to see in the course of a few minutes a somewhat rapid succession of sparks pass in some part of the apparatus ..." Just as his apparatus supplied with mechanical energy was capable of multiplying the "feeble charge in the jar" until it produced sparks, so the growing cloud supplied with energy from the atmosphere should be able, by electrostatic induction, to multiply either naturally occurring positive space charge or artificially introduced negative charge until the resultant electric field becomes sufficiently intense to produce lightning. The fine weather electrical process, which may usually initiate thunderstorm electrification, involves a current of only 1 μA and a power of <1 W for each square kilometer.

That the charge released from the wire could have influenced the polarity of the much larger charges forming later in the thundercloud indicates that the electrification process can be of the "feedback" or "influence" kind. It appears that on some occasions enough of the negative charge from the wire is carried up into the cloud to overbalance the other factors that normally determine the electrical polarity of thunderclouds.
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Neuronal Population Coding of Movement Direction

APOSTOLOS P. GEORGOPoulos, ANDREW B. SCHWARTZ, RONALD E. KETTNER

Although individual neurons in the arm area of the primate motor cortex are only broadly tuned to a particular direction in three-dimensional space, the animal can very precisely control the movement of its arm. The direction of movement was found to be uniquely predicted by the action of a population of motor cortical neurons. When individual cells were represented as vectors that summed weighted contributions along the axis of their preferred direction (according to changes in their activity during the movement under consideration) the resulting vector sum of all cell vectors (population vector) was in a direction congruent with the direction of movement. This population vector can be monitored during various tasks, and similar measures in other neuronal populations could be of heuristic value where there is a neural representation of variables with vectorial attributes.

For many functions controlled by the brain or variables represented in the brain, the relevant unit is the neuronal population rather than the individual neuron. Elucidating the nature of this representation of information by a neuronal ensemble is a central problem of neuroscience (1). We have approached this problem by investigating the brain mechanisms subserving the direction of arm movement in three-dimensional (3-D) space in order to predict directed arm movements from the neural responses of populations of motor cortical neurons. Rhesus monkeys were trained to reach out and push red buttons that had been lit. A center button was located directly in front of the animal at shoulder level. Eight target buttons were placed at equal distances (12.5 cm) from the center button so that the direction of movements made from the center to targets sampled the 3-D space at approximately equal angular intervals (Fig. 1). In a trial, the center light came on first, and the animal pushed it and held its hand on that button for at least 1 second. Then
the center light went off and one of the eight targets was turned on. The animal moved its hand toward this target and pushed it to get a liquid reward. In subsequent trials, different targets were lit so that all of the eight targets were presented in a random sequence. Eight complete sequences (or blocks) were obtained for most cells studied. Movements of the left arm were reflected with respect to the midsagittal plane to allow the combination of right- and left-hand data. The average duration of movement was 0.435 ± 0.205 (SD) second. Some of the movement trajectories at the wrist were monitored with a 3-D sonic-tracking system (2) to obtain estimates of the direction of the movements aimed at the eight targets.

We used standard electrophysiological techniques (3) to record extracellularly the activity of single neurons during microelectrode penetrations into the motor cortex contralateral to the performing arm. We selected neurons that changed activity with spontaneous movements of the arm or with movements directed to food rewards offered to the animal by the experimenter. We then studied quantitatively the relations between the activity of these arm-related cells and the direction of arm movement in 3-D space. We used the frequency of discharge (neural impulses per second) from the onset of the target illumination until the end of the movement as a measure of the neuronal activity. An analysis of variance (4) was performed to assess the statistical significance of the differences in neuronal activity associated with different movement directions. Of the 282 neurons studied, 41 (14.6%) were termed nondirectional, as they showed no statistically significant directional variation (P < 0.05, F test), and were not analyzed further. For the remaining neurons, a multiple regression was performed (5) (with parameters described in the next section). Neurons that had an activity pattern that was fit by the regression equation [n = 224 (79.4%), P < 0.05, F test in multiple regression] were considered directionally tuned and were used for the population analyses described below. Finally, data from 17 (6%) neurons were not fit by the regression equation (directionally nontuned) and were not analyzed further.

We used the following model to describe the relations between the activity of each directionally tuned neuron (Fig. 1) and the direction of movement in 3-D space. Let x, y, z be the positive axes of a Cartesian coordinate system with center at the origin of the movement. Consider a movement vector M of unit length that makes angles χ, ψ, and ω with the x, y, and z coordinate axes, respectively. The direction of vector M in 3-D space is specified by its direction cosines \(m_x, m_y, m_z\) (6) where \(m_x = \cos \chi, m_y = \cos \psi, \) and \(m_z = \cos \omega,\) and where

\[(m_x^2 + m_y^2 + m_z^2)^{1/2} = 1\]

We used the following model to relate cell activity to movement direction.

\[d(M) = b + b_x m_x + b_y m_y + b_z m_z\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(d(M)\) is the frequency of discharge of a particular neuron during movement in direction \((m_x, m_y, m_z)\), and \(b, b_x, b_y, \) and \(b_z\) are coefficients that vary from neuron to neuron. The values of these coefficients and their standard errors were estimated with multiple regression techniques (5).

The model of Eq. 1 implies that there is a particular movement vector C for which the cell's activity will be highest. The direction of this vector is the cell's preferred direction, which can be determined by estimating the direction cosines \(c_x, c_y, \) and \(c_z\) of the vector C from Eq. 1 as follows.

\[c_x = b_x/k\]
\[c_y = b_y/k\]
\[c_z = b_z/k\]

where

\[k = (b_x^2 + b_y^2 + b_z^2)^{1/2}\]

The preferred directions observed for the 224 neurons that fit the model ranged over the whole 3-D directional continuum about the origin of the movement.

An equivalent expression of the model of Eq. 1 is

\[d(M) = b + k \cos \theta_{CM}\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \(\theta_{CM}\) is the angle formed by the cell's preferred direction C and the direction of a particular movement M (Fig. 2) (7). It follows that the discharge rate, \(d(M)\), will be highest with movements in the cell's preferred direction, that is, when C and M coincide (\(\theta_{CM} = 0\) degrees, \(\cos \theta_{CM} = 1\)) lowest with movements in the opposite direction (\(\theta_{CM} = 180\) degrees, \(\cos \theta_{CM} = -1\)); and in between with movements in intermediate directions (\(0 < \theta_{CM} < 180\) degrees, \(1 > \cos \theta_{CM} > -1\)) (8). Equation 2 indicates that motor cortical cells are broadly tuned in the sense that they change their activity with movements in any direction. In contrast, sharply tuned neurons, which might have changed activity with only one or two adjacent movement directions, were not observed.

The broad directional tuning of single neurons suggested that the direction of movement is not coded by individual cells that respond only with movements in a specific direction. Instead, the direction of movement may be coded in a unique fashion.
of the contribution (or length of the vector) $w_i(M)$ of the $i$th cell is a function of the movement direction and is taken to be equal to the change in cell activity from an offset level.

$$w_i(M) = d_i(M) - b_i$$

where, from Eq. 2, $b_i$ is a constant and $d_i(M)$ is the frequency of discharge of the $i$th cell for movement in direction $M$. From assumptions (i) and (ii), it follows that the weighted vectorial contribution of the $i$th cell is

$$N_i(M) = w_i(M) C_i$$

The vector $N_i(M)$ will point toward the $i$th cell's preferred direction if the weight $w_i(M)$ is positive or in the opposite direction if the weight is negative ($\theta$). (iii) Finally, we sum vectorially these cell vectors to obtain the neuronal population vector $P(M)$ corresponding to movement direction $M$.

$$P(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{224} N_i(M)$$

The outcome for one of the movement directions tested is shown in Fig. 3. The yellow line indicates the movement direction $M$. The cluster of light purple lines represents the 224 cell vectors (that is, the vectors $N_i(M)$, $i = 1$ to 224) for movement direction $M$. The direction of the population vector $P(M)$ yielded by the vectorial summation of these cell vectors is orange. The direction of the population vector is very close to the direction of the movement vector (Fig. 4) (10). Therefore, the population vector predicts accurately the direction of the movement (11, 12).

Similar results were obtained for the other movement directions (see cover). The resulting clusters have been placed, for illustrative purposes, on the surface of a hypothetical sphere where the respective movement vector, arising from the center of the sphere, crosses the surface. (The remaining two clusters are behind the sphere and cannot be seen.) The directions of the movement and the corresponding population vector were again similar (13). In general, the direction of movement fell within a 95% confidence cone constructed around the direction of the population vector (14) (Fig. 5). In preliminary work we have found that this result also occurs when the origin of the movement is changed (15).

These findings generalize to 3-D space previous results obtained in two-dimensional (2-D) space (3, 16) concerning the directional properties of single motor cortical cells and the predictive power of the neuronal population vector. These results indicate that single cells are broadly, rather than sharply, tuned to the direction of movement (17), and that the movement direction can be uniquely coded by a directionally heterogeneous neuronal population. Assuming that other brain structures are also involved in the control of the direction of movement.
In some cases the general cosine function of Eq. 2 has provided a good fit to the data (23, 26). The relevant directional variables in these other studies may be uniquely coded by neuronal populations according to principles outlined in our experiments. In fact, this was found to be the case in the coding of the direction of a visual stimulus by neuronal populations of the posterior parietal cortex of the monkey (28). Single cells were broadly tuned to the direction of the stimulus in the visual field but the population vector predicted accurately the direction of the stimulus. This result suggests that the population coding of motion direction proposed in our study may be of general significance to the problem of how directional information might be uniquely coded by neuronal ensembles (29).

REFERENCES AND NOTES


6. We adopt the notation used by Sick and others (capitalized and in boldface) is a 3 by 1 matrix that contains the three direction cosines. For example, \( M = (m_m, m_m, m_m) \).

7. Angle \( \theta \) is calculated from these 12 cells and the product relation \( C = M \cos \theta M' + m_m \).

8. Equation 2 can potentially (when \( \theta < 0 \)) yield negative values for the discharge rate \( d \), which in reality can take only positive values. This happened only rarely in our sample; in those cases, \( d \) was set to zero.

9. This is consistent with the theory of multiplication of a vector by a vector.

10. In this case \( M = (0.230, 0.780, -0.582) \), and the angle between vectors \( M \) and \( M' \) is 8.3 degrees.

11. The direction of the population vector was consistent among the monkeys. It varied between 7.2 and 21.9 degrees.


13. The average angle between the movement and population vectors for the eight directions tested was 15.8 degrees with a range from 7.2 to 21.9 degrees. The same analysis was performed on a smaller sample of cells from a second animal. Of 17 cells studied 12 (70.6%) fit the model of Eq. 1. The average angle formed between the population vectors calculated from these 12 cells and the direction of the movement was 30.4 degrees. The increase in this angle is probably due to the small sample size.